Program/Discipline: English	n/Literature/Reading	Instructional Manager: Kevin Li
Semester/Year: Fall/2011 Plan Title:	Assessment Coordinator: Prof. Phillip M. Virgen Email:	Department Chair: Prof. Stephanie Battle
	🛛 Mid-year update date: 🛛	"ALMOST" Final Report date:1/26/2012
talents, and abilities a quality e	ducation leading to baccalaureate transfer, career adv	
Program/Discipline Mission:	The English department seeks to provide an opportun	ity to understand that learning is a lifelong process which

Program/Discipline Mission: The English department seeks to provide an opportunity to understand that learning is a lifelong process which involves an individual's life experiences, motivation, and readiness to learn. The department hopes that studying English, Reading, and Literature will enable people to become more independent, think clearly, make sounder decisions, develop self-esteem, and have greater control of their lives.

	A. Initial Assessment Plan
Area of Focus:	To what degree will the performance of at-risk students be increased by requiring out-of class meetings with instructors and visits to the Writing Center? How can these individual meetings with faculty and Writing Center staff help students improve their critical thinking skills?
Your department efforts are to improve learning in what topic/area?	

Evidence: What past results have led	The hypothesis driving this project is that early, intrusive, required intervention—whereby students are required to meet with both faculty members and Writing Center tutors will increase the likelihood of success and retention for at-risk
your department to conclude that this is an area needing attention?	students. As this project is focused on improving students' writing,, which is itself a process of thinking clearly and critically, it also addresses the area of inquiry: critical thinking, for the Fall 2011AQUIP action process.
Course(s) of Interest: What courses will be involved in your plan?	Multiple sections of Composition classes (English 98, 100, and 101) will be selected for this assessment project.
Intended Program Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)	 Knowledge of basic English grammar; knowledge of basic structure and function of language Ability to read critically and analyze texts Ability to write effectively in a variety of genres/rhetorical modes
List each relevant SLO that this project pertains to.	
Involved Faculty:	Part-time and full-time English department instructors (all participants not finalized yet)
List the instructor(s) participating in the assessment process for each outcome listed above.	
Assessment/Intervention Process	What: Identifying students who are at risk for failing their courses and facilitating early intervention in order to best serve the needs of these students
Address the following questions:	
What approach will be used?	Why: Project initially proposed by a group pf instructors; approved by the department as this year's assessment project at recent department meeting.
Why was this process selected?	
How will student learning be measured?When will data collection be completed?	 How: 1. Multiple sections of composition selected for participation 2. At week 5, all instructors participating in the project will administer the same, required, in-class essay assignment. The assignment will take place during class time and will be scheduled in computer labs by the individual instructors. The essay assignment for each level of class will be similar to the types of prompts used for the departmental exit exams. The same prompts will be used for Monday/Wednesday classes and the same prompts will be used for
Who will analyze the results?	Tuesday/Thursday classes. 3. The essay assignment will be graded pass/fail, using the same P, P-, and F grades as with the exit exam. The essays will be read by the individual instructor and another instructor. This will be done at a time that is convenient to the instructors.

	 4. The results of the essay assignment should be viewed as a progress report for the students. Students who receive a failing grade (F) or a low-passing grade (P-) on this exam will be selected for early, intrusive intervention. 5. These students will be required to attend faculty office hours weekly, either individually, or in groups, and to meet with a Writing Center tutor at least once a week. These visits will be logged and verified for the purposes of this study. Consequences of non-compliance will be determined by the individual instructors. For example the instructor may choose to factor a student's non-compliance into a class participation grade. Whatever the policy, the instructor nedds to state it in her syllabus, or in an addendum to it. It is important that the interventions (both faculty office hours and writing Center visits) focus on specific areas of writing improvement, as well as improving students' skills in analyzing, evaluating, and extending arguments which are central to critical thinking. All students will take the English department's exit exam, as done in previous years. See below
	Who : Faculty, both those who participated in the project and other faculty volunteers. Evaluation of end of semester data should be completed and available for review in Early January 2012.
Completely describe all actions that have occurred since this past August with respect to your department's Assessment Plan. Attach any relative documents (rubrics,	B. Midyear Update – due Oct 21
surveys, other assessment tools).	

Are there any obstacles to the implementation of the plan that the Assessment Committee should know about or can assist with?	
Summary of Results and Analysis of Data Collected	Part C – due TBD
What were the results of the assessment process?	See the attached SUMMARY
What was learned from the results?	

