Department will like to compare two different types of instructional method.

Table A shows success of lecture style (traditional) vs. Mod 099 (delivering instructions using online software)

SPRING 2011 Assessment

Table A

Math 99 Lecture type of classes			Math 99 MOD classes				
Enrollment	693			Enrollment	170		
Completing	480	69.3%	of Enrollment	Completing	131	77.1%	of Enrollment
Passing	290	60.4%	of Completing	Passing	75	57.3%	of Complete
Falling	190	39.6%	of Completing	Failing	56	42.7%	of Complete
"D"	68	14.2%	of Completing	"D"	22	16.8%	of Complete
"F"	114	23.8%	of Completing	"F"	34	26.0%	of Complete
" "	8	1.7%	of Completing	ADW - WTH	30	17.6%	of Enrollment
ADW - WTH	170	24.5%	of Enrollment	DEL - NSW	9	5.3%	of Enrollment
DEL - NSW	31	4.5%	of Enrollment				

At the end of fall 2011 we checked the comparative academic history of students enrolled in the next level of math and results are shown in table B, for regular math and MOD students.

Table B

Fall 2011 Enrollment Lecture classes				Fall 2011 Enrollment MOD classes				
Course	Enrollr	ment			Course	Enrollment		
M 118	99	34.1%		of Qualifying	M 118	32	42.7%	of Qualifying
M 121	6	2.1%		of Qualifying	M 121	1	1.3%	of Qualifying
M 125	21	7.2%		of Qualifying	M 125	10	13.3%	of Qualifying
M 140	24	8.3%		of Qualifying	M 140	3	4.0%	of Qualifying
M 143	10	3.4%		of Qualifying	M 143	4	5.3%	of Qualifying
M 207	4	1.4%		of Qualifying	M 207	1	1.3%	of Qualifying
M 99	110	15.9%		of Enrollment	M 99	19	11.2%	of Enrollment
Total	274	94.5%		of Qualifying	Totals	70	41.2%	of Qualifying
Returning	145	2	0.9%	of Enrollment	Returning	36	21.2%	of Enrollment
Drop	274	3	9.5%	of Enrollment	Drop	64	37.6%	of Enrollment

Ratio Lecture/ MOD 099 section (699/170). Looking at above two tables we cannot derive any conclusion at the present moment.

Future study

We have data from regular Math 099 (Lecture) and MOD classes form fall 2011 at the end sp 2012 we will look at the math history of students from both groups and compare.